## Instructions for Filling up Part B of the PBAS Proforma

Part B of the Proforma is based on Appendix-III, Table 1 of the UGC Regulations 2010.
B (I) is based on API scoring for Category 1 of the Table. Detailed information for 2009-10 or most recent assessment year is to be provided.
B (II) is based on Category II of the Table. Detailed information for 2009-10 or most recent assessment year is to be provided.
B (III) is based on Category III of the Table. Detailed information for the entire assessment period is to be provided.
The proforma is to be filled as per these tables and self-assessment scores given. For each category, even though several avenue of activities and their API scores are given to provide choice/opportunity to the teacher, maximum limit of scores that can be given or carried forward under each category/area is indicated in the Table-1 of the UGC Regulations.
The self-assessment scores are further to be based on the indicators/activities given below. Universities may modify the detailed indicators and related scores based on their experience and
requirement without changing the score requirements assigned to categories and sub-categories in Appendix III, Table - 1 .

NB. The self-assessment scores are subject to verification by the university/college, and by the Screening cum Verification Committee or Selection Committee as the case maybe.
CATEGORY: I. Teaching, Learning and Evaluation Related Activities (i) (a)
Lectures/Seminars/Practicals/Tutorials/Contact classes taken should be $\quad$ Max. Score: 50 based on verifiable records.
No score should be assigned if a teacher has taken less than $80 \%$ of the assigned classes. Universities may give allowance for periods of leave where alternative teaching arrangements have been made.
Maximum score of $\mathbf{5 0}$ if there is $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ performance.
(b)

| If teacher has taken class exceeding UGC norm, then two points to be <br> assigned for each extra hour of classes/credit | Max. Score: 10 |
| :--- | :--- |

(ii)

Imparting of knowledge/instruction as per curriculum with the prescribed
Max. Score: 20
material (Text book/Manual etc), syllabus enrichment by providing additional resources to students ( $100 \%$ compliance $=20$ points)
(iii) Use of Participatory and innovative Teaching-Learning Methodologies, Updating of Subject Content, Course improvement etc.

| Indicators/Activities | Maximum <br> Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Updating of courses, design of curriculum, (5 per single course) | 10 |
| Participatory \& Innovative T/L Process with materials for problem based <br> learning, case studies, Group discussions etc., <br> Interactive Courses: 5 points/each <br> Participatory Learning modules: 5 points/each <br> Case studies: 5 points/each | 10 |
| Use of ICT in T/L process with computer-aided methods like power point/ <br> Multimedia/Simulation/Softwares etc., <br> (Use of any one of these in addition to Chalk \& Board:5 points | 10 |
| Developing and imparting Remedial/Bridge Courses (Each activity:5 points | 10 |
| Developing and imparting soft skills/communication skills/personality <br> development courses/modules (Each activity: 5 points) | 10 |


| Developing and imparting specialized teaching-learning programmes in <br> physical education, library; innovative compositions and creations in music, <br> performing and visual arts and other traditional areas (Each activity: 5 points) | 10 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Organizing and conduction of popularization programmes/training courses in <br> computer assisted teaching/web-based learning and e-library skills to students <br> Workshop/Training course: 10 points each <br> Popularization program: 5 points each | 10 |
| Maximum Aggregate Limit | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |

(iv) Examination Related Work

| Indicators/Activities | Maximum <br> Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| College/University end semester/Annual Examination work as per duties <br> allotted. (Invigilation - 10 points; Evaluation of answer scripts -5 points; <br> Question paper setting - 5 points). | 20 |
| (100\% compliance =20 points |  |
| College/University examination/Evaluation responsibilities for <br> internal/continuous assessment work as allotted (100\% compliance = 10 points) | 10 |
| Examination work such as coordination, or flying squad duties etc. (maximum <br> of 5 or 10 depending upon intensity of duty (100\% compliance = 10 points) | 10 |
| Maximum Aggregate Limit B (iv) | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |

CATEGORY: II.Co-curricular, Extension and Professional Development Related Activities
(i) Extension and Co-curricular \& field based Activities

| Institutional Co-curricular activities for students such as field <br> studies/educational tours, industry-implant training and placement activity (5 <br> point each). | 10 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Positions held/Leadership role played in organization linked with Extension <br> Work and National service Scheme (NSS), NCC, NSO or any other similar <br> activity (Each activity 10 points) | 10 |
| Students and Staff Related Socio Cultural and Sports Programmes, campus <br> publications (departmental level 2 points institutional level 5 points). | 10 |
| Community work such as values of National integration, Environment <br> democracy, socialism, Human Rights, peace, scientific temper, flood or, drought <br> relief, small family norms etc. (5 points each) | 10 |
| Maximum Aggregate Limit | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
|  |  |

(ii) Contribution to Corporate Life and Management of the Institution

| Contribution to Corporate life in Universities/colleges through meetings, <br> popular lectures, subject related events, articles in college magazine and <br> University volumes (2 points each) | 10 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Institutional Governance responsibilities like, Vice-Principal, Dean, Director, <br> Warden, Bursar, School Chairperson, IQAC Coordinator (10 points each) | 10 |
| Participation in committees concerned with any aspect of departmental or <br> institutional management such as admission committee, campus development, <br> library committee (5 point each) | 10 |
| Responsibility for, or participation in committees for Students Welfare, <br> Counseling and Discipline (5 each) | 10 |
| Organization of Conference/Training as Chairman/Organizational <br> Secretary/Treasurer : (a) International (10 points); national/regional (5 points) <br> (b) as member of the organizing committee (1 point each) | 10 |

## Maximum Aggregate Limit

(iii) Professional Development Related Activities

| Indicators/Activities | Maximum <br> Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Membership in profession related committees at state and national level <br> At national level $: 3$ points each <br> At site level $: 2$ points each | 10 |
| Participation in subject associations, conferences, seminars without paper <br> presentation (Each activity : 2 point) | 10 |
| Participation in short tem training courses less than one week duration in <br> educational technology, curriculum development, professional development, <br> Examination reforms, Institutional governance (5 points) | 10 |
| Membership/participation in State/Central Bodies/Committees on Education, <br> Research and National Development (5 each) | 10 |
| Publication of articles in newspapers, magazines or other publications (not <br> covered in category 3); radio talks; television programmes (1 points each) | 10 |
| Maximum Aggregate Limit | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |

## CATEGORY: III. Research and Publications and Academic Contributions

This is to be filled as per Appendix III Table 1, Category III of the UGC Regulations 2010. Wherever the research contribution is jointly made, the API scores should be shared between the contributors as per the formula provided in the Table 1.

## III. Summary of API Scores

As stated in the UGC Regulations 2010, the API scoring will be progressively rolled out for categories I and II, beginning with assessment of one year for selection committees in 2010-2011, annual averages of two years in 2011-2012 and so on. But for Category III, scores will be computed for the entire assessment period as already indicated in the Regulations.

## APPENDIX - III TABLE - I <br> PROPOSED SCORES FOR ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (APIs) IN RECRUITMENTS AND CAREER ADVANCEMENT SCHEME (CAS) PROMOTIONS OF UNIVERSITY / COLLEGE TEACHERS.

## CATEGORY I: TEACHING, LEARNING AND EVALUATION RELATED ACTIVITIES

Brief Explanation: Based on the teacher's self-assessment, API scores are proposed for (a) teaching related activities; (b) domain knowledge; (c) participation in examination and evaluation; (d) contribution to innovative teaching, new courses etc. The minimum API score required by teachers from this category is 75 . The selfassessment score should be based on objectively verifiable criteria wherever possible and will be finalized by the screening/selection committee.
Universities will be required to detail the activities and in case institutional specificities require, adjust the weightages, without changing the minimum total API scores required under this category.

| S. No. | Nature of Activity | Maximum Score |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Lectures, seminars, tutorials, practicals, contact hours <br> undertaken taken as percentage of lectures allocated |  |
| 2 | Lectures or other teaching duties in excess of the UGC <br> norms | 50 |
| 3 | Preparation and Imparting of knowledge / instruction as <br> per curriculum; syllabus enrichment by providing <br> additional resources to students | 10 |
| 4 | Use of participatory and innovative teaching-learning <br> methodologies; updating of subject content, course <br> improvement etc. | 20 |
| 5 | Examination duties (Invigilation; question paper setting, <br> evaluation/assessment of answer scripts) as per <br> allotment. | 20 |
|  | Total Score | 25 |
|  | Minimum API Score Required | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ |

Note: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Lectures and tutorials allocation to add up to the UGC norm for particular category of teacher. University may prescribe minimum cut-off (net of due leave), say $80 \%$, for 1 and 5 above, below which no scores may be assigned in these sub-categories.

## CATEGORY II: CO-CURRICULAR, EXTENSION AND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT RELATED ACTIVITIES.

Brief Explanation: Based on the tearher's self-assessment, category II API scores are proposed for co-curricular and extension activities; and Professional development related contributions. The minimum API required by teachers for eligibility for promotior, is 15. A list of items and proposed scores is given below. It will be noticed that all teachers can earn scores from a number of items, whereas some activities will be carried out only be one or a few teachers. The list of activities is broad enough for the minimum API score required (15) in this category to accrue to all teachers. As before, the self-assessment score should be based on objectively verifiable criteria and will be finalized by the screening/selection committee.
The model table below gives groups of activities and API scores. Universities may detail the activities of, in case institutional specificities require, adjust the weightages, without changing the minimum total API scores required under this category.

| S. No. | Nature of Activity | Maximum Score |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Student related co-curricular, extension and field based <br> activities (such as extension work through NSS/NCC <br> and other channels, cultural activities, subject related <br> events, advisement and counseling) | 20 |
| 2 | Contribution to Corporate life and management of the <br> department and institution through participation in <br> academic and administrative committees and <br> responsibilities. | 15 |
| 3 | Professional Development activities (such as <br> participation in seminars, conferences, short term, <br> training courses, talks, lectures, membership of <br> associations, dissemination and general articles, not <br> covered in Category Ill below) | 15 |
|  | Minimum API Score Required | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |

## CATEGORY-III: RESEARCH AND ACADEMIC CONTRIBUTIONS

Brief Explanation: Based on the teacher's self-assessment. API scores are proposed for research and academic contributions. The minimum API score required by teachers from this category is different for different levels of promotion and between university and colleges. The self-assessment score will be based on verifiable criteria and will be finalized by the screening/selection committee.

| S No. | APIs | Engineering/Agriculture/ Veterinary <br> Science/Sciences/Medical Sciences | Faculties of Languages Arts/Humanities/Social Sciences/Library/ Physical education/Management | Max. points for University and college teacher position |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HI A | Research Papers published in | Refereed Journals* | Refereed Journals* | 15 / publication |
|  |  | Non-refereed but recognized and reputable journals and periodicals, having ISBN/ISSN numbers | Non-refereed but recognized and reputable journals and periodicals, having ISBN/ISSN numbers. | 10/ <br> Publication |
|  |  | Conference proceedings as full papers, etc. (Abstracts not to be included) | Conference proceedings as full papers, etc. (Abstracts not to be included) | $10 /$ publication |
| III (B) | Research Publications (books, chapters in books, other than refereed journal articles) | Text or Reference Books Published by International Publishers with an established peer review system . | Text or Reference Books Published by International Publishers with an established peer review system | 50 /sole author; <br> 10 /chapter in an edited book |
|  |  | Subjects Books by National level publishers/State and Central Govt. Publications with ISBN/ISSN numbers. | Subject Books by/national level publishers/State and Central Govt. Publications with ISBN/ISSN numbers. | 25 /sole author, and $5 /$ chapter in edited books |
|  |  | Subject Books by Other local publishers with ISBN/ISSN numbers. | Subject Books by Other local publishers with ISBN/ISSN numbers | 15 / sole author. and 3 / chapter in edited books |
|  |  | Chapters contributed to edited knowledge based volumes published by International Publishers | Chapters contributed to edited knowledge based volumes published by International Publishers | 10 Chapter |
|  |  | Chapters in knowledge based volumes by Indian/National level publishers with ISBN/ISSN numbers and with numbers of national and international directories | Chapters in knowledge based volumes in Indian/National level publishers with ISBN IISSN numbers and with numbers of national and international directories | 5/Chapter |
| III (C) | RESEARCH PROJECTS |  |  |  |
| III (C) (i) | Sponsored Projects carried out/ ongoing | (a) Major Projects amount mobilized with grants above 30.0 lakhs | Major Projects amount mobilized with grants above 5.0 lakhs | 20 /each Project |
|  |  | (b) Major Projects amount mobilized with grants above 5.0 lakhs up to 30.00 lakhs | Major Projects Amount mobilized with minimum of Rs. 3.00 lakhs up to Rs 5.00 lakhs | 15 /each Project |
|  |  | (c) Minor Projects (Amount mobilized with grants above Rs. 50,000 up to Rs. 5 lakh) | Minor Projects (Amount mobilized with grants above Rs. 25.000 up to Rs. 3 lakh) | 10/each Project |
| III (C) (ii) | Consultancy Projects | Amount mob lized with | Amount mobilized with | 10 per every |


*Wherever relevant to any specific discipline, the API score for paper in refereed journal would be augmented as follows: (i) indexed journals - by 5 points; (ii) papers with impact factor between 1 and 2 by 10 points; (iii) papers with impact factor between 2 and 5 by 15 points: (iv) papers with impact factor between 5 and 10 by 25 points.
** If a paper presented in Conference/Seminar is published in the form of Proceedings, the points would accrue for the publication (III (a)) and not under presentation (III (e)(ii)).

## Notes.

1. It is incumbent on the Coordination Committee proposed in these Regulations and the I niversity to prepare and publicize within six months subject-wise lists of journals, periodicals and publishers under categories IIIA and B. Till such time, screening/selection committees will assess and verify the categorization and scores of publications.
2. The API for joint publications will have to be calculated in the following manner: Of the total score for the relevant category of publication by the concerned teacher, the first/Principal author and the corresponding author/supervisor/mentor of the teacher would share equally $60 \%$ of the total points and the remaining $40 \%$ would be shared equally by all other authors.
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MINIMUM ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE AND SERVICE REQUIREMENTS FOR PROMOTION UNDER UGC'S CAREER ADVANCEMENT SCHEME (CAS)

## STAGE I

Entry through open advertisement as Assistant Professor in Pay Band III of Rs. 15,600-39,100 with AGP of Rs. 6,000/-

## PROMOTIONS UNDER UGC'S CAS

## STAGE - 2

FROM STAGE 1 TO STAGE 2 OF ASSISTANT PROFESSORS IN THE PAY BAND III OF RS. 15,600 - 39,100 WITH AGP FROM RS. 6,000 TO AGP OF RS. 7,000

SERVICE REQUIREMENTS
Assistant Professor in Stage 1/Entry level and completed four years of service with Ph.D. Or
Five years of service who are with M.Phil/PG Degree in Professional Courses such as LL.M. M.Tech, M.V. Sc., M.D. etc.

Or
Six years of service who are without Ph.D./M.Phil/PG Degree in Professional Courses
MINIMUM ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTSAND SCREENING/SELECTION CRITERIA
(i) Minimum API scores using PBAS scoring proforma developed by the University \& UGC as per the norms provided in Table II(A) of Appendix III.
(ii) One Orientation and one Refresher/Research Methodology Course of $2 / 3$ weeks duration.
(iii) Screening cum Verification process for recommending promotion.

## STAGE - 3

FROM STAGE 2 TO STAGE 3 OF ASSISTANT PROFESSORS IN THE PAY BAND IIIOF RS. 15,600 - 39,100 WITH AGP FROM RS. 7,000 TO AGP OF RS. 8,000

## SERVICE REQUIREMENTS

Assistant Professor with completed service of five years in Stage 2/Sr. Scale

MINIMUM ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTSAND SCREENING/SELECTION CRITERIA
(i) Minimum API scores using the PBAS scoring proforma developed by the University as per the norms provided in Table II(A) of Appendix III.
(ii) One course/programme from among the categories of refresher courses, methodology workshops, Training, Teaching-Learning-Evaluation Technology Programmes, Soft Skills development Programmes and Faculty Development Programmes of $2 / 3$ weeks duration.
(iii) Screening cum Verification process for recommending promotion.

## STAGE-4

FROM STAGE 3 TO STAGE 4 : PROMOTION FROM ASSISTANT PROFESSOR (STAGE 3) TO ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR (STAGE 4) TO MOVE TO THE PAY BAND IV OF RS. 37,400 - 67,000 WITH AGP OF RS. 9,000/-

## SERVICE REQUIREMENTS

Assistant Professors with three years of completed service in Stage $\mathbf{3}$ shall be eligible to be designated as Associate Professor.

## MINIMUM ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTSAND SCREENING/SELECTION CRITERIA

I. Minimum API scores using the PBAS scoring proforma developed by the University as per the norms provided in Table II(A) of Appendix III.
II. At least three publications in the entire period as Assistant Professor (twelve years).
III. One course / programme from among the categories of methodology workshops, Training, Teaching-Learning-Evaluation Technology Programmes, Soft Skills Development Programmes and Faculty Development Programmes of minimum one week duration.
IV. A Selection Committee process as stipulated in this regulation and in Tables II(A) and II(B) of Appendix III.

## $\underline{\text { STAGE - } 5}$

FROM STAGE 4 TO STAGE 5: PROMOTION FROM ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR (STAGE 4) TO PROFESSOR (STAGE 5) IN THE PAY BAND IV OF RS. 37,400-67,000 WITH AGP FROM RS. 9,000/- TO AGP OF RS .10,000

## SERVICE REQUIREMENTS

Associate Professors with three years of completed service in Stage 4 and possessing a Ph.D. Degree shall be eligible to be designated as Professor.

## MINIMUM ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTSAND

## SCREENING/SELECTION CRITERIA

(i) Minimum yearly /cumulative API scores using the PBAS scoring proforma developed by the University as per the norms provided in Table II(A) of Appendix III. Teachers may combine two assessment periods (in Stages 2 and 3) to achieve minimum API scores, if required.
(ii) A minimum of five publications since the period that the teacher is placed in Stage 3.
(iii) A Selection Committee process as stipulated in this regulation and in Tables II(A) and II(B) of Appendix III.

## STAGE - 6

FROM STAGE 5 TO STAGE 6: PLACEMENT IN THE HIGHER GRADE OF PROFESSOR (STAGE 5) TO PROFESSOR (STAGE 6) IN THE HAG SCALE OF RS. 67,000 - 79,000 (3\% INCREMENT)
( 10 per cent of the positions of Professors in the University)

## SERVICE REQUIREMENTS

Professor with completed services of ten years (within the University only) in Stage 5
MINIMUM ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTSAND
SCREENING/SELECTION CRITERIA
(i) Minimum yearly /cumulative API scores for the assessment period as per the norms provided in Table II(A) of Appendix III
(ii) Additional credentials are to be evidenced by: (a) postdoctoral research outputs of high standard; (b) awards /honours / recognitions / patents and IPR on products and processes developed / technology transfer achieved; and (c) Additional research degrees like D.Sc., D.Litt., LL.B., etc.
(iii) A review process by an Expert Committee as stipulated in this regulation and in Tables II(A) of Appendix III.

## APPENDIX-III TABLE-II(A)

MINIMUM APIS AS PROVIDED IN APPENDIX-III TABLE I TO BE APPLIED FOR THE PROMOTION OF TEACHERS UNDER CAREER ADVANCEMENT SCHEME (CAS) IN UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENTS, AND WEIGHTAGES FOR EXPERT ASSESSMENT.

|  |  | Assistant Professor /equivalent cadres: (Stage 1 to Stage 2) | Assistant Professor/equivalent cadres: (Stage 2 to Stage 3) | Assistant Professor (Stage3) to Associate Professor/ Equivalent Cadres (Stage 4) | Associate Professor (Stage4) to Professor/ Equivalent Cadres (Stage 5) | Professor (Stage5) to Professor(Stage 6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Teaching-learning, Evaluation Related Activities (Category I) | 75/Year | 75/Year | 75/Year | 75/Year | 75/Year |
| II | Co-curricular, Extension and Professor related activities (Category (II) | 15/Year | 15/Year | 15/Year | 15/Year | 15/Year |
| III | Minimum total average annual Score under Categories I and II* | 100/Year | 100/Year | 100/Year | 100/Year | 100/Year |
| IV | Research and <br> Academic Contribution <br> (Category III)  | 10/Year <br> (40/assessment period) | 20/Year <br> (100/assessment period) | 30/Year <br> (90/assessment period) | 40/Year <br> 120/assessment <br> period) | 50/Year <br> 500/assessment <br> period) |

*Teachers may score 10 points from either Category I or Category II to achieve the minimum score required under Category I+II.
Note: For Universities for which Sixth PRC Awards (vide Appendix 2) are applicable, Stages 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 correspond to scales with AGP of Rs. 6000, 7000, 8000, 9000, 10000 and HAG respectively.

# UNIVERSITY GRANTS COMMISSION NOTIFICATION 

New Delhi, the 13th June, 2013

No. F. 1-2/2009(EC/PS) V(i) Vol-II.-In exercise of the powers conferred under clause
(e) and (g) of subsection (1) of Section 26 of University Grants Commission Act, 1956 (3 of 1956), the University Grants Commission hereby frames the following amendment Regulations, namely:-

## 1. Short title, application and commencement:

1.1. These Regulations may be called the University Grants Commission (Minimum Qualifications for Appointment of Teachers and other Academic Staff in Universities and Colleges and Measures for the Maintenance of Standards in Higher Education) (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment), Regulations, 2013.
1.2 They shall come into force with immediate effect from their publication in the Official Gazette.
2. The clause 6.1 .0 of the Annexure of University Grants Commission (Minimum Qualifications for Appointment of Teachers and other Academic Staff in Universities and Colleges and other Meașures for the Maintenance of Standards in Higher Education) Regulations, 2010 (hereinafter to be called 'The Principal Regulations') shall stand amended and be substituted by the following clause:-
"6.1.0 'The overall selection procedure shall incorporate transparent, objective and credible methodology of analysis of the merits and credentials of the applicants based on weightages given to the performance of the candidate in different relevant dimensions and his/her performance on a scoring system proforma, based on the Academic Performance Indicators (API) as provided in this Regulations in Tables I to IX of Appendix III.

$$
3260 \text { a// } 13-5
$$

Provided that API scores will be used for screening purpose only and will have no bearing on expert assessment of candidates in Direct Recruitment/ CAS

Provided also that the API score claim of each of the sub-categories in the Category III (Research and Publications and Academic Contributions) will have the following cap to calculate the total API score claim for Direct Recruitment / CAS

| Sub-Category | Cap asas of <br> cumulative <br> application <br> score |
| :--- | :--- |
| III (A) : Research papers (Journals, etc) <br> III (B) Research publications (Books, <br> etc) | $30 \%$ |
| III (C) Research Projects | $25 \%$ |
| III (D) Research Guidance | $20 \%$ |
| III (E) Training Courses and Conference <br> ISeminar, etc | $10 \%$ |

In order to make the system more credible, universities may assess the ability for teaching and/or research aptitude through a seminar or lecture in a class room situation or discussion on the capacity to use latest technology in teaching and research at the interview stage. These procedures can be followed for both direct recruitment and CAS promotions wherever selection committees are prescribed in these Regulations."
3. The clause 6.0 .2 of the Principal Regulations shall stand amended and be substituted by the following clause:-
"6.0.2The Universities shall adopt these Regulations for selection committees and selection procedures through their respective statutory bodies incorporating the Academic Performance Indicator (API) based Performance Based Appraisal System (PBAS) at the institutional level for. University Departments and their Constituent colleges/ affiliated colleges (Government/Government-aided/Autonomous/ Private Colleges) to be followed transparently in all the selection processes. An indicative PBAS template proforma for direct recruitment and for Career Advancement Schemes (CAS) based on API based PBAS is annexed in Appendix III. The universities may adopt the template proforma or may devise their own selfassessment cum performance appraisal forms for teachers. While adopting this, universities shall not change any of the categories or scores of the API given in Appendix-III. The universities can, if they wish so, increase the minimum required score or devise appropriate additional criteria for screening of candidates at any level of recruitment."
4. The clause 7.3.0 of the Principal Regulations shall stand amended and be substituted by the following clause:-

### 7.3.0. VICE CHANCELLOR:

i. Persons of the highest level of competence, integrity, morals and institutional commitment are to be appointed as Vice-Chancellors. The Vice-Chancellor to be appointed should be a distinguished academician, with a minimum of ten years of experience as Professor in a University system or ten years of experience in an equivalent position in a reputed research and / or academic administrative organization.
ii. The selection of Vice Chancellor should be through proper identification of a panel of $3-5$ names by a Search Committee through a public notification or nomination or a talent search process or in combination. The members of the above Search Committee shall be persons of eminence in the sphere of higher education and shall not be connected in any manner with the university concerned or its colleges. While preparing the panel, the Search Committee must give proper weightage to academic excellence, exposure to the higher education system in the country and abroad, and adequate experience in academic and administrative governance to be given in writing along with the panel to be submitted to the Visitor/ Chancellor. The constitution of the Search Committee could be as per the Act/ Statutes of the concerned university.
iii. The Visitor/ Chancellor shall appoint the Vice Chancellor out of the Panel of names recommended by the Search Committee.
iv. The conditions of services of the Vice Chancellor shall be as prescribed in the Act/ Statutes of the university concerned in conformity with the Principal Regulations.
v. The term of office of the Vice Chancellor shall form part of the service period of the incumbent concerned making him/her eligible for all service related benefits."
5. The Table I [Category I, II \&III] of Appendix-III of the Principal Regulations shall stand amended and be substituted by Table I [Category I, II \& III] appended to these amendment Regulations.

## AMENDED APPENDIX - III TABLE - I

## PROPOSED SCORES FOR ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (APIs) IN RECRUITMENTS AND CAREER ADVANCEMENT SCHEME (CAS) PROMOTIONS OF UNIVERSITY / COLLEGE TEACHERS.

## Category I: Teaching, LEARNING AND EVALUATION Related ACTIVITIES

Brief Explanation: Based on the teacher's self-assessment, API scores are proposed for (a) teaching related activities; (b) domain knowledge; (c) participation in examination and evaluation; (d) contribution to innovative teaching, new courses etc. The minimum API score required by teachers from this category is 75 . The selfassessment score should be based on objectively verifiable criteria wherever possible and will be finalized by the screening/selection committee.
Universities will be required to detail the activities and in case institutional specificities require, adjust the weightages, without changing the minimum total API scores required under this category.

| S. No. | Nature of Activity | Maximum Score |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Lectures, seminars, tutorials, practicals, <br> contact hours undertaken taken as <br> percentage of lectures allocated | 50 |
| 2 | Lectures or other teaching duties in excess of <br> the UGC norms | 10 |
| 3 | Preparation and Imparting of knowledge / <br> instruction as per curriculum; syllabus <br> enrichment by providing additional resources <br> to students | 20 |
| 4 | Use of participatory and innovative teaching- <br> learning methodologies; updating of subject <br> content, course improvement etc. | 20 |
| 5 | Examination duties (Invigilation; question <br> paper setting, evaluation/assessment of <br> answer scripts) as per allotment. | 25 |
|  | Total Score | 125 |
|  | Minimum API Score Required | 75 |

Note: a: Lectures and tutorials allocation to add up to the UGC norm for particular category of teacher. University may prescribe minimum cut-off (net of due leave), say $80 \%$, for 1 and 5 above, below which no scores may be assigned in these subcategories.

Note 2 :
The model table proposes API scores and the mode for awarding these scores for various parameters of Category I of PBAS.

1. Wherever the unit of assessment is the number of hours, the teacher is required to compute the total number of hours allocated as per the time-table or the actual number of hours spent in that activity in the previous academic year. The institution can verify these from the official Time Table and the record of students' attendance.
2. In calculating the number of hours allocated, only working days/weeks will be taken into account. For example, if a teacher has been assigned 20 hours of classroom teaching per week in an institution that teaches for 16 weeks per semester, the teacher would write 320 hours (plus another 320 hours if his/her teaching load is the same in the second semester) in the row 1A(i). Since this is 2 hours higher than the UGC norm, s/he would claim additional $2 \times 16$ hours in row $1 A^{-}$(ii). If s/he has actually taught for 275 hours in that semester, $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ would claim 275 hours in row 1A (iii). So, in' all, she would get credit for $320+32+275=627$ hours for that semester. S/he would do similar calculation for the second semester and the total would be entered in each row.
3. In most sub-categories, the total score of a teacher may exceed the maximum score permitted against the relevant sub-total. In that case, the score of the teacher will be credited the maximum score. For example, a teacher who marks 900 scripts can get credit for 300 hours and may have spent another 40 hours on examination duty. This adds up to 340 hours $=34$ points. But s/he will be awarded the maximum of 20 points in that category.
4. Wherever the criterion involves an assessment by the screening committee, the teacher will be required to submit some evidence of work done. Each institution may further develop these criteria and specify the requirements for the various categories mentioned here.
5. Under 4 c , the teacher is only required to give a proof that $\mathrm{s} /$ he administered an anonymous feedback questionnaire wherein the students can give their assessment of the quality of his/her teaching. S/he would be entitled to the points irrespective of the content of the feedback. The comments given by the students may not be used against the teacher in this exercise.

| Category | Nature of activity | Notes | Unit of <br> assessment | Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Category I | TEACHING, LEARNING AND <br> EVALUATION RELATED ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |
| 1A (i) | Classroom teaching <br> (including lectures, seminar) | As per <br> allocation | Hours per <br> academic year |  |
| 1A (ii) | Classroom teaching (including <br> lectures, seminar) in excess of UGC | As per | Hours per |  |
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| 4A | Teaching innovation including preparation of innovative course, use of innovative methodologies for teaching including bilingual/multilingual teaching | Evidence to be provided. Scores to be finalized by the screening committee | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Outstanding } & =10 \\ \text { Very good } & =7 \\ \text { Good } & =5 \\ \text { Average } & =3 \\ \text { Modest } & =1 \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4B | Preparation of new teachinglearning material including translation, bridge material, study pack or similar additional resource for students | Evidence to be provided. Scores to be finalized by the screening committee | Outstanding $=10$  <br> Very good $=7$  <br> Good $=5$ <br> Average $=3$ <br> Modest $=1$ |  |
| 4C | Use of anonymous students' feedback on the quality of classroom teaching and students' interaction | Performa and summary. feedback to be attached | 2 points per course (max 10 points) |  |

Minimum score required for promotion: 150 out of a total of 250 from category I and II, at least 100 (from Maximum of 180) from category I and 20 (from maximum of 70) from category II.

## AMENDED Category II: Co-curricular, EXTENSION AND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT RELATED ACTIVITIES

Brief Explanation: Based on the teacher's self-assessment, category II API scores are proposed for co-curricular and extension activities; and Professional development related contributions. The minimum API required by teachers for eligibility for promotion is 15. A list of items and proposed scores is given below. It will be noticed that all teachers can earn scores from a number of items, whereas some activities will be carried out only by one or a few teachers. The list of activities is broad enough for the minimum API score required (15) in this category to accrue to all teachers. As before, the self-assessment score should be based on objectively verifiable criteria and will be finalized by the screening/selection committee.
The model table below gives groups of activities and API scores. Universities may detail the activities or, in case institutional specificities require, adjust the weightages, without changing the minimum total API scores required under this category.

| S. No. | Nature of Activity | Maximum Score |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Student related co-curricular, extension and <br> field based activities (such as extension work <br> through NSS/NCC and other channels, <br> cultural activities, subject related events, <br> advisement and counseling) | 20 |
| 2 | Contribution to Corporate life and <br> management of the department and institution <br> through participation in academic and <br> administrative committees and <br> responsibilities. | 15 ,Professional Development activities (such as <br> participation in seminars, conferences, short <br> term, training courses, talks, lectures, <br> membership of associations, dissemination <br> and general articles, not covered in Category <br> III below) |
| Ninimum API Score Required | 15 |  |

The model table proposes API scores and the mode for awarding these scores for various parameters of Category II of PBAS.

1. Wherever the unit of assessment is the number of hours, the teacher is required to compute the total number of hours allocated as per the time-table or the actual number of hours spent in that activity in the previous academic year. The institution can verify these from the official Time Table and the record of students' attendance.
2. In calculating the number of hours allocated, only working days/weeks will be taken into account. For example, if a teacher has been assigned 20 hours of classroom teaching per week in an institution that teaches for 16 weeks per semester, the teacher would write 320 hours (plus another 320 hours if his/her teaching load is the same in the second semester) in the row 1 A (i). Since this is 2 hours higher than the UGC norm, she would claim additional $2 \times 16$ hours in row 1 A (ii). If she has actually taught for 275 hours in that semester, she would claim 275 hours in row 1A (iii). So, in all, she would get credit for $320+32+275=627$ hours for that semester. She would do similar calculation for the second semester and the total would be entered in each row.
3. In most sub-categories, the total score of a teacher may exceed the maximum score permitted against the relevant sub-total. In that case, the score of the teacher will be credited the maximum score. For example, a teacher who marks 900 scripts can get credit for 300 hours and may have spent another 40 hours on examination duty. This adds up to 340 hours $=34$ points. But she will be awarded the maximum of 20 points in that category.
4. Wherever the criterion involves an assessment by the screening committee, the teacher will be required to submit some evidence of work done. Each institution may further develop these criteria and specify the requirements for the various categories mentioned here.
5. Under 4 c , the teacher is only required to give a proof that s/he administered an anonymous feedback questionnaire wherein the students can give their assessment of the quality of her teaching. She would be entitled to the points irrespective of the content of the feedback. The comments given by the students may not be used against the teacher in this exercise.
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|  | Sports, NSS, NCC etc) | Scores to be finalized by the screening committee | Good $=5$ <br> Average $=3$ <br> Modest $=1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5C | Extension and <br> dissemination activities  <br> (public lectures, talks,  <br> seminars, popular <br> writings not covered  <br> under III)  <br>   | Evidence to be <br> provided. <br> Scores to be finalized by the screening committee | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { Outstanding } & =10 \\ \text { Very good } & =7 \\ \text { Good } & =5 \\ \text { Average } & =3 \\ \text { Modest } & =1 \end{array}$ |
|  | Sub-total 5 |  |  |
| 6A | Administrative responsibility (including Dean, Principal, Chairperson, Convenor, Teacher-in-charge or similar duties that require regular office hours for its discharge) | Actual hours spent | Hours per academic year |
| 6B | Participation in Board of Studies, Academic and Administrative Committees | Actual hours spent | Hours per academic year |
|  | Sub-total 6 | Score $=$ <br> hours/10 <br> (Max score $=30)$ | $\therefore$ O |
| 7 | Overall contribution to the collective/corporate life of the institution (including 5, 6 and any other contribution) | Evidence to be provided. Scores to be finalized by the screening committee | Outstanding $=10$  <br> Very good $=7$  <br> Good $=5$ <br> Average $=3$ <br> Modest $=1$ |
|  | GRAND TOTAL (1 TO 7) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (OUT OF } \\ & 250 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  |

Minimum score required for promotion: 150 out of a total of 250 from category I and II, at least 100 (from Maximum of 180) from category I and 20 (from maximum of 70) from category II.

## AMENDED CATEGORY-III: RESEARCH AND ACADEMIC CONTRIBUTIONS

Brief Explanation: Based on the teacher's self-assessment, API scores are proposed for research and academic contributions. The minimum API score required by teachers from this category is different for different levels of promotion and between university and colleges. The self-assessment score will be based on verifiable criteria and will be finalized by the screening/selection committee.

| S No. | APIs | Engineering/Agriculture/ Veterinary Science/Sciences/Medical Sciences | Faculties of Languages Arts/Humanities/Social Sciences/Library/ Physical education/Management | Max. points for University and college teacher position |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III A | Research Papers published in: | Refereed Journals* | Refereed Journals* | $\begin{aligned} & 15 / \\ & \text { publication } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Non-refereed but recognized and reputable journals and periodicals, having ISBN/ISSN numbers. | Non-refereed but recognized and reputable journals and periodicals, having ISBN/ISSN numbers. | 10 / <br> Publication |
|  |  | Conference proceedings as full papers, etc. (Abstracts not to be included) | Conference proceedings as full papers, etc. (Abstracts not to be included) | 10/ publication |
| III (B) | Research Publications (books, chapters in books, other than refereed journal áticles) | Text or Reference Books Published by International Publishers with an established peer review system | Text or Reference Books Published by International Publishers with an established peer review system | 50 /sole author; 10 /chapter in an edited book |
|  |  | Subjects Books by National level publishers/State and Central Govt. Publications with ISBN/ISSN numbers. | Subject Books by / national level publishers/State and Central Govt. Publications with ISBN/ISSN numbers. | 25 /sole author, and 5/ chapter in edited books |
|  |  | Subject Books by Other local publishers with ISBN/ISSN numbers. | Subject Books by Other local publishers with ISBN/ISSN numbers. | 15 / sole author, and $3 /$ chapter in edited books |
|  |  | Chapters contributed to edited knowledge based volumes published by International Publishers | Chapters contributed to <br> edited knowledge <br> based volumes <br> published by <br> International Publishers | 10 /Chapter |
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| III(E) | TRAINING COURSES AND CONFERENCE /SEMINARWORKSHOP PAPERS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & I I I(E) \\ & (i): \end{aligned}$ | Refresher courses, Methodology workshops, Training, Teaching-Learning-Evaluation Technology Programmes, Soft Skills development Programmes, Faculty Development Programmes (Max: 30 points) | (a) Not less than two weeks duration | (a) Not less than two weeks duration | 20/each |
|  |  | (b) One week duration | (b) One week duration | 10/each |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} I I I(E) \\ (\text { (ii) } \end{array}$ | Papers in Conferences/ Seminars/ workshops etc.** | Participation and Presentation of research papers (oral/poster) in | Participation and Presentation of research papers (oral/poster) in |  |
|  | - - | a) International conference | a) International conference | 10 each |
|  |  | b) National | b) National | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7.5 \\ \text { each } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  | c) <br> Regional/State level | c) Regional/State level | $\begin{array}{\|ll\|} \hline 5 & 1 \\ \text { each } & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  | d) Local University/Co llege level | d) Local University/Colle ge level | 3/each |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 111(\mathrm{E}) \\ \text { (iv) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Invited lectures or presentations for conferences/ / symposia | (a) Internationa I | (a) International | 10 <br> leach |
|  | ' $=$ | (b) National level | (b) National level | 5 |

*Wherever relevant to any specific discipline, the API score for paper in refereed journal would be augmented as follows: (i) indexed journals - by 5 points; (ii) papers with impact factor between 1 and 2 by 10 points; (iii) papers with impact factor between 2 and 5 by 15 points; (iv) papers with impact factor between 5 and 10 by 25 points.
** If a paper presented in Conference/Seminar is published in the form of Proceedings, the points would accrue for the publication (III (a)) and not under presentation (III (e)(ii)).

Notes.

1. It is incumbent on the Coordination Committee proposed in these Regulations and the University to prepare and publicize within six months subject-wise lists of journals, periodicals and publishers under categories IIIA and B. Till such time, screening/selection committees will assess and verify the categorization and scores of publications.
2. The API for joint publications will have to be calculated in the following manner: Of the total score for the relevant category of publication by the concerned
teacher, the first/Principal author and the corresponding author/supervisor/mentor of the teacher would share equally $60 \%$ of the total points and the remaining $40 \%$ would be shared equally by all other authors.
3. The parameters listed in table of category-III (Research and Academic contributions) shall have following capping in relation to the total API'score claimed by the candidates:-

- III (A) : Research papers (Journals, etc) 30\%
- III (B) : Research publications (Books, etc) $25 \%$
- III (C): Research Projects 20\%
- III (D): Research Guidance . 10\%
- III (E): Training Courses and Conf/Seminar, etc 15\%

